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Abstract

This paper gives a brief background on mechanisms behind climate variations in the past and man-made climate change together with a brief synopsis of what the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) says about likely future impact of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases.

The paper also gives a briefing on the background, organisation and functioning of the IPCC.
1 Introduction

In its Second Assessment Report (SAR) from 1995 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) concluded that

«The balance of evidence, from changes in global mean surface air temperature and from changes in geographical, seasonal and vertical patterns of atmospheric temperature, suggests a discernible human influence on global climate. There are uncertainties in key factors, including the magnitude and patterns of long-term natural variability.»

Although carefully worded, the statement has created a rather heated debate, also among climate scientist. Some well-known and respected scientists disagree that we at this moment in time are able to discern a human influence on the global climate (Pearce, 1997). Also in connection with the production of the last Assessment report, some procedural errors were introduced in the final editing of the summaries of the report. These errors were used extensively by interest groups opposed to climate change policy to discredit the whole report and the organisation producing the report (IPCC).

On this background it is understandable that many may have come to see IPCC and its reports as mainly political manifestations, to be discussed within the political arena on par with other political topics. Thus, a basic misconception of IPCC has to some degree been spreading, and this in turn has fed scepticism to the whole issue of climate change. Coupled with the notion that climate change is synonymous with global warming, and that some warming may seem desirable at least at Norwegian latitudes, this has resulted in an attitude in certain (mainly political) quarters that regards climate change as just one more doomsday prophesy from environmental groups.

In this presentation we will give some background on the climate change problem by discussing some well understood and some less well understood mechanisms behind changes in the global climate. The likely human impact on climate change is further compared to natural climate change in the past, and we close this part of the paper with a review of the main outstanding scientific problems in this field. This will hopefully clarify the nature of the problem of climate change. Finally, we will try to convey what IPCC is and what it is not and role of IPCC in the debate on climate change.
2 On climate change

2.1 Basics

The climate system consists of many sub-systems coupled together in a non-linear fashion. As such, the system is able to distort and amplify external signals affecting the various sub-systems. This is the basic reason why the climate is such a complex issue to study. Although we may have a good deal of knowledge about individual sub-systems, the many interlinkages with other systems makes it very hard to predict the overall behaviour of the global climate as a reaction to for instance emissions of the so called greenhouse gases.

An important sub-system is of course the atmosphere itself. However, the state of the atmosphere (temperature, humidity, distribution of high and low pressure areas, etc.) is affected by and influence the state of other sub-systems such as the oceans, the cryosphere (snow and ice), the biosphere and even the lithosphere (soil, rock, etc.) Despite these interactions it can be useful to list the main causes of global climate change as follows:

- Variations in solar radiation (the solar ‘constant’)
- Variations in the Earth’s orbit and the solar insolation
- The shape and position of the continents
- Volcanic activity
- Variations in the reflections from the Earth’s surface and atmosphere (albedo)
- Changes in the composition of the Earth’s atmosphere:
  - gases
  - aerosols
  - cloud cover

These driving forces operate on a number of time scales, from the very long geological time scale to a more ‘human’ and politically relevant short time scale.

2.1.1 Solar radiation and carbon-silicate cycle

The solar radiation varies both on short and long time scales. In broad terms the solar intensity has, as a consequence of the natural evolution of a star the size of our sun, increased by approximately 30 percent since the creation of the Earth some 4.6 billion years ago.

The ‘virgin’ atmosphere of the Earth contained much more CO$_2$ (and probably CH$_4$) than the present atmosphere. Extreme volcanic activity was an important source of CO$_2$ in these early days. The greenhouse effect of these gases helped to keep the Earth warm, although the solar radiation was some 30 per cent lower than today’s value. The carbon in the atmosphere also helped in stabilising the climate through what is known as the carbon-silicate cycle. When rock erodes, silicate binds to carbon in the atmosphere and is then transported to the seas where the carbon in the form of calcium carbonate settles in solid form at the bottom of the oceans.

Over million of years plate tectonics brings the carbon to the surface where volcanic activity once more release it to the atmosphere. High CO$_2$ concentration in the atmosphere leads to a warm and more humid climate, and increased precipitation in turn leads to more erosion and consequently a stronger sink for atmospheric CO$_2$. Oppositely, a low CO$_2$ concentration leads
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to a colder climate with possible glacialiation as a result, which protects the rock from erosion and weaken this sink for atmospheric CO₂. These feedbacks thus tend to stabilise the global temperature in the long run. Other feedback mechanisms work in the opposite direction (see sections below).

On a much shorter time scale, the solar output varies over the so called solar sunspot cycle lasting for approximately 11 years. Although the intensity of the solar radiation doesn’t vary much over a solar cycle, it is still possible to observe variations in the global mean temperature with approximately this periodicity. We still lack, however, a convincing explanation of how such small variations in solar output can cause observable effects on the global temperature (see however Svensmark and Friis-Christensen, 1997).

2.1.2 Variations in the Earth’s orbit

This evidence for amplifying feedback mechanisms in the climate system is a recurrent theme in almost all studies of climate change. Thus, the correlation between global glaciations over the last few million years and small changes in the incoming solar radiation due to changes in the Earth’s orbit around the sun is another example. There is relatively clear evidence for long term climate cycles with the same periods changes as the Earth’s eccentricity (100 000 years), obliquity (41 000 years) and precession (19-23 000 years) in the records of past ice ages. These orbital changes (see figure 1) somehow seem to trigger global climate change.

However, it is not well understood how these so called Milankovich cycles leads to the observed synchronicity between the northern and southern hemisphere. Also the relation to the recorded changes in greenhouse gas concentration (CO₂ and CH₄) is less than fully understood.

2.1.3 The shape and positions of continents

Over geological time scales continents and oceans have changes position and shape. For instance, 500 million years ago Norway was close to the South pole. During the journey to the present position all continents became assembled into a super-continent called Pangaea, which lasted until approximately 200 million years ago.

This wandering of the continents have had great impact on the global climate, foremost through the increased likelihood for glacialiation that appear when the polar regions are covered by continents, but also through changes in the heat transport carried out by ocean currents. The glacialiation in itself creates a positive feedback mechanism for climate change since the high albedo of ice leads to high reflection of sunlight, thus cooling the Earth further.

Figure 1. Variation in northern hemisphere insolation during summer. Source: Berger (1998)
To escape from this feedback loop, other factors affecting the climate must change. Thus, it is now hypothesised that the Earth in an early period several hundred million years ago actually froze over and became a snowball. Only intense volcanic activity with huge releases of CO₂ causing warming and particles darkening the ice cover, thus increasing the absorption of solar radiation, eventually lead to a smelting of the ice and snow [Hoffman, 1998].

### 2.1.4 Albedo

The cloud cover also affects the albedo or reflectivity of the Earth. The amount and position of clouds are in turn determined by the temperature, the humidity and the concentration of aerosols of the atmosphere, as well as local topographic features like mountains. Thus, the creation of Rocky Mountain 100 million years ago, the Alps between 10 and 60 million years ago and the Himalayas 10 million years ago all affected the cloud cover of the Earth.

### 2.1.5 Atmospheric composition

Finally, the chemical composition of the atmosphere is a key factor in determining the global climate. Chemical constituents control the radiative balance of the Earth/atmosphere system due to interactions with both shortwave and longwave radiation, see figure 2.

![Figure 2: The Earth's radiation balance. Incoming radiation (342 W/m²) set equal to 100.](image)

By absorption of terrestrial (longwave) radiation and re-emission at lower temperatures, the atmosphere is trapping radiative energy and thereby heating the surface-troposphere system. This mechanism keeps the surface about 33 °C warmer than it would otherwise be. The trapping of radiative energy, often referred to as "the greenhouse effect", is mainly due to the presence of water vapour, clouds and carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere. Other gases such as methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and ozone (O₃) also absorb and re-emit longwave
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**Figure 3: Radiative forcing from atmospheric constituencies.**

Radiation and contribute to the natural greenhouse effect. In addition to being radiatively active in the longwave region of the spectrum, ozone also absorbs solar (shortwave) radiation. Also other gases have an impact on the radiative forcing of the atmosphere, both directly and indirectly, see figure 3.

While CO₂ is the most important gas for the man-made enhancement of the greenhouse effect (ca. 60% of the warming effect), there are also significant contributions from methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and halocarbons. The depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer has caused a cooling effect, while the ozone increase in the underlying troposphere has given a warming that is of similar magnitude as the effect of methane. Sulfate particles formed from SO₂ and particles from biomass burning give a cooling effect due to scattering of solar radiation, while soot has a small warming effect through absorption of longwave radiation. Finally, there is a large cooling effect from changes in the distribution and properties of clouds. This mechanism is however, very poorly quantified at present.

We do not have the space here to go through the details, but note that due to the complexity of the chemical and other reactions taking place in the atmosphere, the uncertainties are quite large. This uncertainty is of course amplified further when additional feedback mechanisms, for instance involving the oceans or the biosphere, are taken into account.

In summary, we may conclude that there are a wide variety of mechanisms at work that affect the global climate on many time scales. Several of them are well understood, while others are less so. However, the often non-linear coupling between them creates the largest obstacle to our understanding of the climate system. On the short time scale that is of importance to our human society, we note that the composition of the atmosphere together with the interactions between atmosphere, the oceans and the biosphere are of most relevance.
2.2 Remembrance of things past

Since its creation 4.6 billion years ago, the Earth has gone through enormous changes. Continents have been born and reformed, the solar output has increased some 30 percent, and the oceans and the atmosphere have been created and changed. Given these fundamental changes, it is a near miracle that life has evolved and managed to stay alive over much of Earth’s history.

Time scales of billions of years are difficult to grasp. In order to make it more ‘digestible’, some memorable moments in the Earth’s history are listed in box 1.

The relatively slow start is noteworthy. It took more than a billion years before the first sign of life appeared in the ocean in the form of single cell bacteria, and almost three billion more years before the first animal was established, also in the ocean.

One possible reason for this delay is that the Earth during the period from 750 to 550 million years ago may have frozen completely over (Hoffman et al., 1998). Only after smelting of the snowball did land get occupied, first by plants and then gradually by animals migrating from the ocean. At this stage oxygen had become an important constituent of the atmosphere, which at earlier stages had been dominated by CO$_2$. Volcanic activity and geological processes like weathering and erosion had kept the CO$_2$ concentration in balance at a high level during the first period. With the invasion of land, these processes were modified and a new balance between the lithosphere, the oceans and the atmosphere was created.

The balances were not perfect, however, and severe climate changes in the form of extensive glaciations took place even in this early part of the history of the Earth. Part of the causes for this variation was the wandering of the continental plates across the globe. When a continent passed the south pole for instance, conditions for glaciation was better than in periods where the pole was covered by ocean. The split up of the last super continent, Pangaea, 200 million years ago started the process of transforming the Earth’s surface to the structure we know today.

Text box 1:
Some highlights from the history of the Earth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Million years ago)</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 600</td>
<td>The creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 300</td>
<td>First life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680</td>
<td>First animal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>First fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>First plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>First tropical forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>First dinosaur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>First bird</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Dinosaurs die out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>First homo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.100</td>
<td>First homo sapiens sapiens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.040</td>
<td>Eurasia invaded by homo sapiens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.015</td>
<td>Cave paintings in France and Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.010</td>
<td>The end of the last ice age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.008</td>
<td>First civilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.004</td>
<td>First cities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


1 See (Fortey, 1997)
The first human like animals are some 2 million years old, i.e. very recent in a geological perspective. *Homo sapiens* are thought to have first appeared about 400,000 years ago, certainly in Africa and perhaps in parts of Asia as well. Anatomically modern humans appeared in Africa and possibly in Asia perhaps 100,000 years ago and eventually arrived in Europe. Among these European peoples, the best known is the Cro-Magnons. Their populations expanded rapidly throughout Europe, and their level of material culture became increasingly more complex and sophisticated. The emergence of fully modern humans in other parts of the world is less understood, though it seems to have occurred 30,000-15,000 years ago and involved various migrations and the intermingling of different populations (see "human evolution" in *Britannica Online*). Agriculture and stationary settlements seems to have appeared ca. 7 000 years ago, while the oldest remains of cities are some 4 000 years.

During this evolution towards civilisation, the Earth has gone in and out of so called *ice houses*, i.e. periods where more or less regular and extensive glaciation has take place. Currently we are in such an ‘ice house’ which started some 1.6 million years ago and we have so far experienced 10 major and 40 minor periods of glacial and interglacial conditions, see figure 4 for a record of ice ages over the last 750 000 years. The most recent ice age ended some 10 000 years ago.

After the end of the last ice age the climate not only became generally warmer, but also in an important way more stable. There are increasing evidence that not only during cold periods with extended glaciation, but also in the previous warmer inter-glacial periods, the climate was characterised by large variability on a short (decadal) time scale. Only after the last ice age seems the climate to some extent to have quieted down. It is noteworthy that agriculture only emerged ca. 7 000 – 8 000 years ago, ie. a couple of thousand years after the end of the last ice age and only after a quieter climatic period started. This event, or the establishment of cities some thousands of years later, can perhaps be said to represent the start of the civilisation as we know it. Thus, our civilisation has only known our present calm and stable climate.

In this context it is instructive to take a look at a graph (figure 5) showing temperature and CO$_2$ levels in the atmosphere since the next but last ice age. The figure gives a vivid picture of the rate of change we are currently imposing on the atmospheric composition. Already, the CO$_2$ concentration is far above anything we have experienced over the last 200 000 years. The near vertical increase in CO$_2$ concentration also gives an indication of the unprecedented rate of change we now impose on the climate system.

\[ \text{http://www.eb.com:180/cgi-bin/g?DocF=micro/281/28.html} \]
Figure 6 shows the development over the last 1000 years. While the CO₂ levels varied between approximately 190 and 300 ppmv over the last 220 000 years before industrialisation, it has now reached ca. 360 ppmv during the last two centuries (i.e. an increase of ca. 30% from the pre-industrial level).

The concentration of methane (CH₄) has increased even more; 145% since pre-industrial time, see figure 7. The pre-industrial range of variation since 220 000 years before present was 300 to 700 ppbv, while the present level is 1720 ppbv. In addition to these changes, man’s activities have introduced new gases to the atmosphere that significantly affect the fluxes of radiation. Of particular importance are the halocarbons containing fluorine, bromine or chlorine.

Taken together with the increasing acknowledgement of the potential natural instability of the climate system, also in warm inter-glacial stages, the picture provides an important piece of motivation for the current concern about climate change and the work undertaken within the IPCC system.
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Returning to the opening statement from the Second assessment report of IPCC that “The balance of evidence, … suggests a discernible human influence on global climate”, it is a fact that the statement drew some criticism. This was partly due to the difficulties encountered when interpreting the current signals on climate change such as global mean temperature, see figure 8.

Although the wording of IPCC is very cautious, it remains debatable whether we in fact today observe ‘a discernible human influence’ although recent studies such as the one referred to in figure 9 [Mann, 1998], indicates the steadily increasing role of greenhouse gases as an

Figure 6. CO₂ concentration over the last 1000 years.
Source: IPCC.

Figure 7. CH₄ concentration over the last 1000 years.
Source: IPCC.

2.3 The present

Returning to the opening statement from the Second assessment report of IPCC that “The balance of evidence, … suggests a discernible human influence on global climate”, it is a fact that the statement drew some criticism. This was partly due to the difficulties encountered when interpreting the current signals on climate change such as global mean temperature, see figure 8.

Although the wording of IPCC is very cautious, it remains debatable whether we in fact today observe ‘a discernible human influence’ although recent studies such as the one referred to in figure 9 [Mann, 1998], indicates the steadily increasing role of greenhouse gases as an

3 Special interest groups opposing the whole notion of human induced climate change also made much out of a procedural error during the editing of one of the chapter summaries. This controversy was however inconsequential with respect to the factual content of the summary.
important explanatory factor behind the observed temperature increase. What is not in doubt however, barring very large surprises, is that we in the future will see such influence on the global climate. Thus, the debate of the above statement is in a sense spurious and related only to a specific and very short period of time.

Figure 8: Average global temperature relative to the period 1951-1980.

In addition to the analysis of development in global mean temperature, the statement from IPCC given above, relies also on so-called “fingerprint studies”. Changes in global mean temperature may have several causes, so in order to better understand the different factors behind climate change analyses taking seasonal, geographical and vertical patterns into account were performed.

Comparing the results of model studies including the effects of greenhouse gases, sulfate aerosols and stratospheric ozone depletion with the observed patterns of temperature changes gives an indication of man-made effects on the temperature pattern of the atmosphere in time and space. It is, however, worth

Figure 9: Correlations between Northern Hemisphere temperature (NH), solar intensity, CO$_2$ concentration and volcanic activity over the last 600 years. From: Mann et al. (1998)
noting that the climate forcing from tropospheric ozone as well as the indirect effect of aerosols where not taken into account. As can be seen from figure 3 these effects are probably significant components of the man-made interference with the energy balance of the Earth-Atmosphere system.

2.4 Things to come: On future climate change

The future development of our global climate is determined by natural components and by man-made components. Concerning the possible future development of our global climate, IPCC has created a set of more or less likely emission scenarios based on various assumptions regarding population growth, economic development and technological progress to quantify the importance of the man-made components. The implications of these scenarios on some global climate indicators have then been worked out based on our best current knowledge on how the climate system may respond to increased radiative forcing, see figure 10.

Based on analyses like these, the IPCC warns that we during the next century may face an (additional) increase in mean global temperature of between 1 and 3.5 degrees Celsius and a sea level rise of between 15 and 95 cm above current levels. During the last hundred years or so, the mean temperature has increased by 0.3-0.6 degrees C and the sea level has risen by
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between 10 and 25 cm. However, these global indicators do not really tell us very much about the regional and local effects of climate change. Thus, while

- precipitation is thought to increase under generally warmer conditions, and the distribution of precipitation is probably going to be more extreme in that dry places will get drier while wet places will get wetter,
- during winter, the warming will be more pronounced over land than over oceans,
- the warming will be strongest in the north at high latitudes,
- and more days with extreme heat and fewer days with extreme cold is expected,

it is still too early to say with precision where these changes will take place. The task of determining the likely regional distribution of a global climate change is a main challenge for IPCC at the moment.

2.5 The effects of the Kyoto protocol

Calculations show that current commitments under the Kyoto protocol will have very small effects on future CO₂ levels, temperature change and sea level rise over the next century (Wigley, 1998). Various assumptions are made for the period after 2008-2012: 1) No further reductions, 2) Annex-B emissions remain constant after 2010 and 3) 1% annual decrease in CO₂ emissions. The calculated reductions in global mean temperature are between 0.08 and 0.28°C, while the reductions in sea level rise are between 1.4 and 6.5 cm (see figures 11 and 12).

Figure 11: Upper panel: Global mean temperature changes for the baseline (IS92a) and the extended Protocol emissions cases using a climate sensitivity of 2.5°C warming for 2xCO₂. Lower panel: Reductions in global mean warming in the scenario with constant emissions in Annex B nations after 2010 for climate sensitivites of 1.5, 2.5 and 4.5°C (Wigley, 1998).
2.6 Unresolved scientific questions

As emphasised earlier, the climate system is composed of several sub-systems interlinked in a non-linear fashion. Such systems are known to be able to behave in erratic and surprising ways. Any long-term forecasts of climate change is therefore conditioned on the assumption that the system is only perturbed within boundaries where it behaves smoothly in some sense. Imprecise knowledge about where these boundaries are located gives additional uncertainty to the forecasts. However, even within this constraint, several important sub-systems and mechanisms remains less than well understood.

An intriguing part of the climate system in this respect is the clouds. Low altitude clouds are known to be mainly cooling, due to the increased albedo they entail and the relatively high temperature of the cloud tops. This high temperature ensures relatively intense outwards thermal radiation from the clouds. Oppositely, clouds at high altitude with cool cloud tops contribute mainly to global warming since their upward thermal radiation is much less. How increased greenhouse gas emissions, and also emissions of other pollutants leading to aerosol formation, affect the formation of clouds at different altitudes, is not well understood. Varying assumptions related to these mechanisms is often the main explanation why different climate models predict different increases in the mean global temperature from the same increase in greenhouse gas emissions.

Another indication on our incomplete knowledge of the climate system is the discrepancy between trends in observed surface temperatures and satellite measurements of the temperature of the lower troposphere (close to ground level). While unambiguous global warming over the last few decades is observed at surface stations, the satellite observations of the lower troposphere is more ambiguous. This is a sign that the thermal coupling between the surface and the lower part of the atmosphere is less simple than assumed in most of the currently operating climate models. It may also indicate that the technique behind monitoring
temperatures from satellites still is at a early stage. Over the last years, several corrections and improvements of the satellite series have been done, leading to a better agreement with the surface measurements.

![Diagram showing annual global mean temperature from 1951-1980 level](image)

**Figure 13. Deviation in annual mean temperature from the 1951-1980 level.**

Other substantial questions are the related to the coupling of the atmosphere and the oceans, and the many natural cycles observed in the oceans (El Niño, North Atlantic Oscillation, etc.). These have a great impact on the regional climate, however, the coupling to the development of global climate change and vice versa is still unclear. Similar uncertainty exists with regard to the coupling to the biosphere and its responses to climate change.

Perhaps a good illustration of our incomplete understanding can be found in the temperature increase observed between 1910 and 1940 in the historical record, see figure 13. Certainly a better understanding of climate change over this period will increase our ability to predict future climate change with greater precision.

Regardless of our incomplete understanding of the climate system, some conclusions can be stated with a high degree of confidence.

- The climate system as part of our natural environment, has a major impact on our civilisation. Without a reasonable stable climate our lives are going to be extremely more difficult, perhaps even impossible in the present form. This is partly a reflection of the fact that the climate system is immensely more ‘powerful’ than any man-made systems.
- We are currently interfering significantly with the climate system. Although this can not be proven beyond doubt, the potential adverse effects make it reasonable to adopt a defensive attitude.
- Adoption of a defensive attitude is dependent on political and public acceptability. Thus, a main priority at present is to bring forward scientific information about what is known and what is currently unknown to the public at large and the political decision makers in particular.
We do have a natural greenhouse effect caused by the presence of clouds and greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The most important greenhouse gases are: H₂O, CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and O₃.

Since pre-industrial times the concentrations of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O have increased by 30%, 145% and 15%, respectively.

Over the last 100 years the mean global temperature has increased by 0.3-0.6 °C with a series of record breaking years in the late 1980s and the 1990s.

The sea level has increased by 10-25 cm over the same period.

Anthropogenic emission of greenhouse gases has probably contributed significantly to the observed changes in climate.

With current development in emissions we can expect by year 2100:
- Global increase in temperature of 1-3.5 °C.
- An increase in sea level of some 15-95 cm, and additional increased after 2100.
- Loss of agricultural land.
- Changes in precipitation patterns.
- Changes in eco-systems.
- Increased frequency of certain illnesses, e.g. malaria.

Large and abrupt changes in climate have happened previously in the Earth’s history. We know little of the causes of these changes.

The are large uncertainty regarding the regional impacts of a change in the global climate.

There is a lack of knowledge about:
- The feedback mechanisms, in particular related to the humidity of the atmosphere and cloud formation.
- The cooling effects of aerosols and sulphur emissions.
- Links to the sunspot cycle.
- Impacts on and effects of hurricanes and other extreme climatic events.
- Changes in strength and pattern of the oceanic currents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This we know</th>
<th>This is probable</th>
<th>This is uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• We do have a natural greenhouse effect caused by the presence of clouds and greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The most important greenhouse gases are: H₂O, CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and O₃.</td>
<td>• Anthropogenic emission of greenhouse gases has probably contributed significantly to the observed changes in climate.</td>
<td>• Large and abrupt changes in climate have happened previously in the Earth’s history. We know little of the causes of these changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Since pre-industrial times the concentrations of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O have increased by 30%, 145% and 15%, respectively.</td>
<td>• With current development in emissions we can expect by year 2100:</td>
<td>• The are large uncertainty regarding the regional impacts of a change in the global climate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Over the last 100 years the mean global temperature has increased by 0.3-0.6 °C with a series of record breaking years in the late 1980s and the 1990s.</td>
<td>- Global increase in temperature of 1-3.5 °C.</td>
<td>• There is a lack of knowledge about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The sea level has increased by 10-25 cm over the same period.</td>
<td>- An increase in sea level of some 15-95 cm, and additional increased after 2100.</td>
<td>- The feedback mechanisms, in particular related to the humidity of the atmosphere and cloud formation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Loss of agricultural land.</td>
<td>- The cooling effects of aerosols and sulphur emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Changes in precipitation patterns.</td>
<td>- Links to the sunspot cycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Changes in eco-systems.</td>
<td>- Impacts on and effects of hurricanes and other extreme climatic events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Increased frequency of certain illnesses, e.g. malaria.</td>
<td>- Changes in strength and pattern of the oceanic currents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3 IPCC: The background, organisation and procedures

3.1 Background: The history of the establishment of IPCC

Scientific recognition of the potential of human activity to modify climate dates back at least to the early nineteenth century. Thus, in 1827, Baron Jean-Baptiste Fourier suggested that human activity can modify surface climate, and he was perhaps one of the first to suggest the now well known greenhouse effect of the atmosphere (Fourier, 1827, Ramanathan, 1988). The greenhouse theory of climate change was, however, only taken up in earnest later in the last century when in 1896 the Swedish scientist Svante Arrhenius published his first estimate of a man-made global temperature change caused by industrial emissions (Arrhenius, 1896, Rodhe et. al., 1997). His main insight was that burning of fossil fuels and the release of CO₂ could affect the escape of heat from the Earth.

The next milestone can perhaps be said to relate to research carried out by Roger Revelle and Hans Suess at the Scripps Institute of Oceanography. Their research indicated that the oceans only seem to absorb about half on the man made CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere. This research led in turn to the establishment of a monitoring network under the guidance of Charles D. Keeling from the same institute. This monitoring firmly established that the CO₂ concentration in our atmosphere is increasing and is now far above the level believed to have existed in pre-industrial times (280 ppmv), see figure 14.

![Figure 14: Measurement of CO₂ concentration at Mouna Loa, Hawaii.](Source: Keeling and Whorf, Scripps Institution of Oceanography.)

Scientific interest in man's potential impact on global climate was stirred by the research and monitoring initiated in the 1950’s, and this interest was further mobilised through conferences, loose research networks and assessments especially from the 1970’s onwards (Agrawala, 1998).

The starting point for the recent international efforts to better understand climate variations and the possible problem of a human-induced climate change is generally regarded to be the UN Conference on Human Development in Stockholm in 1972. At this conference results from a numerical climate model predicting climate development into the next century were presented. Further refinement of this type of model, together with a report from the University of East Anglia highlighting that the 1980s contained several of the warmest years in the his-
torical record, created widespread concern about climate change as a man-made global environ-
mental problem.

In 1979 the World Climate Conference was held in Geneva, and the World Climate Pro-
gramme (WCP) was launched. The creation of the WCP set forth a series of workshops held
in Villach, Austria, in 1980, 1983 and 1985, and organised under the auspices of the World
Meteorological Organization (WMO), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)
Villach meeting an international group of scientists reached a consensus that, as a result of the
increasing concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, a rise in the global mean
temperature “greater than any in man’s history” could occur in the first half of the next cen-
tury. This group of experts also stated that “…the understanding of the greenhouse question is
sufficiently developed that scientists and policy-makers should begin active collaboration to
explore the effectiveness of alternative policies and adjustments” (WMO, 1985).

In combination with a set of other factors, especially anomalous weather conditions in Europe
and America, the 1985 Villach meeting was instrumental in bringing the climate issue onto
the international political agenda. In 1986 the Advisory Group on Greenhouse Gases (AGGG)
was set up under the joint sponsorship of WMO, UNEP and ICSU. Each of these bodies
nominated two experts, and the panel consisted of six members: Gordon Goodman, Bert
Bolin, Ken Hare, G. Golitsyn, Sukiyoro Manabe and M. Kassas (Agrawala, 1998). During the
latter half of the 1980’s the climate issue increasingly gained saliency among the
public, scientists and policy-makers, not least through the work of the so-called Brundtland
commission (WCED, 1987). At the Toronto Conference of the Atmosphere, where more than
300 scientists and policy-makers from 48 countries, UN organisations, IGOs and NGOs par-
ticipated, an explicit policy recommendation calling upon national governments to reduce
$CO_2$ emissions by 20% from 1988 levels by 2005 was agreed upon.

Meanwhile, the WMO and UNEP in close co-operation with various US agencies agreed that
an intergovernmental mechanism was needed to undertake further internationally co-ordinated
scientific assessments of climate change, and invitations to governments to the first session of
the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) were sent out early 1988. The first
plenary session of the IPCC took place in November 1988. The AGGG set up in 1986 was
gradually replaced by the IPCC and has not met since 1990.

### 3.2 The function and products of the IPCC

The main function of the IPCC is to provide assessment reports of state-of-the-art knowledge
on climate change. The objective of the IPCC, as formulated by the governing bodies of
WMO and UNEP, is twofold:

- **i)** To assess the scientific information related to the various components of the climate
  change issue and the information needed to evaluate the environmental and socio-econo-
  mic consequences of climate change, and

- **ii)** To formulate “realistic response strategies for the management of the climate change
  issue” (Report of the first session of the IPCC).
In 1988, three Working Groups (WGs) were set up to attain this objective:

- *Working Group I (WGI)* was assigned the task of assessing available scientific information on climate change,
- *Working Group II (WGII)* was assigned the task of assessing environmental and socio-economic impacts of climate change, and
- *Working Group III (WGIII)* was assigned the task of formulating response strategies.

In 1992, the IPCC structure was slightly changed: Working Groups II and III were merged in Working Group II, while a new Working Group III was set up to deal with socio-economic and other cross-cutting issues related to climate change.

IPCC has as one of its main tasks to assess “scientific information”. All IPCC WGs conduct assessments on the basis of published literature within relevant fields and disciplines. Thus, IPCC does not, contrary to a common misunderstanding, carry out scientific research. Furthermore, the term “scientific information” is generally taken to mean that only published and peer reviewed scientific material is taken into account.
In connection with the planned Third Assessment Report (TAR), a slight readjustment of the mandate for the three working groups has been suggested as follows:

- Working Group I will assess the scientific aspects of the climate system and climate change (as before);
- Working Group II will assess the scientific, technical, environmental, economic and social aspects of the vulnerability (sensitivity and adaptability) to climate change of, and the negative and positive consequences (impacts) for, ecological systems, socio-economic sectors and human health, with an emphasis on regional sectoral and cross-sectoral issues;
- Working Group III will assess the scientific, technical, environmental, economic and social aspects of the mitigation of climate change, and through a task group (multi-disciplinary team), will assess the methodological aspects of cross-cutting issues (e.g., equity, discount rates and decision making frameworks).

Text box 2: IPCC reports

- Assessment Reports: The full scientific assessment with status as “Reports accepted by WGs”. Accepted by WG plenary, but not subject to discussion.
- Executive summaries and Summaries for Policy-makers: Summaries of the full scientific assessment with status as “Reports approved by WGs and accepted by the Panel”. Subject to line-by-line approval by WG plenary. Accepted by full panel plenary, and not subject to discussion at this decision-making level.
- Synthesis Reports: Synthesis of the reports of all WGs, developed by the WG leadership in co-operation with lead authors and specially invited experts with status as “Reports approved by the Panel”. Subject to line-by-line approval by full panel plenary.
- Special Reports: Assessments on special issues. Subject to the review, acceptance and approval procedures of the assessment reports in general.
- Technical Papers (since 1995): Reports on specific issues, based on existing assessment reports, not submitted to the acceptance and approval procedures of the assessment reports.

The main products of IPCC are the assessment reports. However, also other types of reports are produced, see text box 2. The First IPCC Assessment Report was presented to the Second World Climate Conference in 1990, where it was accepted as an adequate basis upon which to start climate negotiations. The first step was the Framework Convention on Climate Change (FCCC) agreed upon in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. In December 1995, the IPCC Plenary accepted the Second IPCC Assessment Report in time for the negotiation of the Kyoto-protocol finalised in December 1997. Work on a Third Assessment Report (TAR) is underway (current work plans suggest finalisation in 2001).
3.3 The Assessment Process

The IPCC is organised in three decision-making levels that serve different functions in the assessment process: the “scientific core”, the WG plenaries, and the full panel (IPCC) plenary at the top of the institution, see figure 16.

At WG and panel plenaries, all UN members and members of the IPCC’s two sponsoring organisations, the WMO and UNEP, can participate. Participation at these levels is, therefore, in principle open. Governmental authorities nominate all members of national delegations.

At the start of an assessment process, the leadership of each WG develops a work-plan for the assessment, which is subsequently approved by the plenary of the WG and accepted by the full panel plenary. Governments nominate teams of lead- and contributing authors. The bureau (chair and vice-chairs) of each WG select lead authors from the nomination lists provided by governments.

Contributing authors may also be specially invited; however, with due consideration of the geographic balance of the groups, particularly with regard to ensuring participation by scientists from developing countries. Lead authors participate in their personal capacities.

The assessment reports are developed in the scientific core of the IPCC, in a series of meetings in task forces and sub-groups established for particular issues, workshops and conferences, and most importantly, in regular lead- and contributing author meetings. The summaries to the assessments – the summary for policy-makers and the executive summary – are also developed at this level. Scientists active in research dominate participation in the scientific core.

When a draft report has been developed, it is submitted to an extensive, two-phased review procedure, including both expert and government review. According to the rules of procedure of the IPCC, lead authors, WG chairs, sub-group chairs and vice-chairs are responsible for incorporating comments from the review “as appropriate”. In this regard, lead authors, chairs and vice-chairs are encouraged to arrange wider meetings with principal contributors and reviewers to discuss particular aspects or areas of major differences, as deemed necessary and if time and funding permits. It is also emphasised in the rules of procedure that the assessment
reports “describe different (possibly controversial) scientific or technical views on a subject, particularly if they are relevant to the political debate”.

The revised draft of the assessment and its summaries are then submitted to the WG plenary for acceptance and approval. At this level, the discussion takes on quite a different character. While the full scientific assessment report is accepted by the plenary en bloc and usually without further discussion, the summaries – the Executive Summary (ES) and the Summary for Policy-makers (SPM) – undergo a detailed and time consuming revision where the formulations of the documents are discussed and negotiated line-by-line.

The main bulk of participants to WG plenaries are national delegations, comprising government officials, low-level policy-makers and/or scientists with governmental affiliations. National governments to a varying extent send independent scientists as members of national delegations to WG plenary meetings.

Mainly representatives of the teams of lead authors represent scientists at this decision-making level. Lead authors have acquired a special status as authorities in the debate, and substantive changes to the text of the summaries are not made without consent from the lead authors of the chapter in question. Thus, while government officials at this level may outnumber scientists, the scientists still have a significant amount of “control” over the documents.

The WG plenary discussions represent the first step towards acquiring a political acceptance of the knowledge base developed in the scientific core and its substantive conclusions. Having undergone this thorough and detailed treatment, where alternative formulations and interpretations of the corresponding formulations in the bulk report have been discussed and negotiated, the substantive conclusions of the knowledge base are in a sense “tried out” and “digested” by policy-makers. Having survived this intense scientific and political scrutiny with their scientific credibility and authority intact, the substantive conclusions come out as more robust.

The accepted and approved assessment report and summaries are then submitted to the full panel plenary for acceptance. The full panel plenary can not, however, amend a report that has been accepted or approved by the WG plenary. This institutional device, formally established in the 1993 revision of the IPCC rules of procedure, is important for ensuring consistency between the summaries and the assessment report upon which the summaries are based. At the WG plenary, lead authors’ scientific authority is used as a vehicle for ensuring this consistency and also to prevent scientifically unsubstantiated formulations from entering the summaries. While lead authors usually participate at the WG plenary level, they usually do not participate in the full panel plenary meetings. The inability of the full panel plenary to amend text that has been approved by the WG plenaries also prevents the reopening in the full panel plenary of controversial issues already settled in the WG plenaries.

Thus, while the assessment process is formally finalised with the acceptance of the assessments and summaries by the panel plenary, it is in practice finalised with the acceptance and approval of the reports by the WG plenary (according to the 1993 rules of procedure).

The panel plenary also approves the Synthesis Report drafted by the leadership of each of the three WGs in co-operation with a specially invited group of scientists, lead authors and experts. The 1995 Synthesis Report was developed and discussed at several conferences with
broad participation. The procedure by which consensus on the Synthesis Report is developed in the panel plenary is, in form, similar to the negotiations taking place in WG plenary meetings. A notable exception is the near absence of scientists at this decision-making level. This places a special burden and challenge on the members of the drafting team that are present and the scientific leadership of the WGs and the panel.

3.4 Decision Rules and Recruitment Procedures

The IPCC has been criticised for forging a scientific consensus in an area characterised by scientific uncertainty and controversy. The scientific core of the IPCC, in which the assessments are developed, does not, however, operate under a consensus rule. On the contrary, a fair representation of the scientific debate is regarded as a main objective. The development of an assessment which reflects the scientific debate with its inherent uncertainties and controversies and which, thus, is acceptable to all parties in the debate is considered an important objective of the IPCC process. In this regard, therefore, the IPCC assessments may be considered a consensual representation of state-of-the-art knowledge in the fields covered.

The IPCC plenaries, on the other hand, operate under a decision rule of consensus. The 1991 rules of procedure state that, “in taking decisions, drawing conclusions, and adopting reports, the IPCC Plenary and Working Groups shall use all best endeavours to reach consensus.” Furthermore, in the 1991 rules of procedure it is stated that, “if consensus is judged by the relevant body not possible…for conclusions and adoption of reports, differing views shall be explained and, upon request, recorded.” (“Principles governing IPCC work” from 1991, item 6). Thus, in cases where consensus can not be achieved, dissenting views may be recorded in footnotes to the text. In WGI, however, this has never been necessary. Even in the most fierce discussions, WGI has largely managed to develop formulations acceptable to all parties, government officials as well as scientists.

The lead authors of the IPCC have a major responsibility in the development of the assessments, as well as in the WG approval of the summaries. They are key players in the selection of contributors and expert reviewers (and also, on some occasions, in the selection of other lead authors). Above all, they bear the main responsibility for incorporating into the assessments all scientifically substantiated viewpoints and findings of the scientific community, as communicated to them by contributors and reviewers, in a representative and balanced manner.

While lead authors are selected from lists of nominations by governments, the actual choice lies with the scientific leadership of the WGs. Scientists not on the nomination list are never chosen as lead authors, but the IPCC leadership have on some occasions approached governments to have particular scientists nominated (personal communication with Bert Bolin). The procedure whereby lead authors are chosen has become increasingly formalised during the course of the process, but even with the formalisation of procedures in 1993, there are relatively few formal requirements guiding the choice. It is, however, emphasised that due consideration is given to scientists “known through their publication or work”. The “technical ability” of the lead author and their “ability to work to deadlines” are also emphasised as important criteria. Finally, it is pointed out that teams of lead authors “should reflect a fair balance of different points of view”.

4 There are some footnotes of dissent in the Synthesis report.
4 Concluding remarks: On the nature of the climate problem

The problem of climate change is not, despite popular conception, mainly a problem of increased global average temperature. The seriousness of the problem is more related to the potential variability and instability of the global climate and the local weather. We now know that the climate system in the past has shown great and rapid fluctuations for ‘natural’ causes.

The stable climate regime observed after the last ice age is currently perturbed by the large outpouring of greenhouse gases due to human activities of many kinds. The question then is whether the stability of the current climate regime is able to withstand this kind of disturbance. The answer to this we really do not know at present. Furthermore, if the climate system should change to a more unstable regime, it is very difficult to predict the local and even regional consequences with any precision. Thus, the problem of climate change is riddled with uncertainties, and the main challenge for us in this situation is to devise a rational response to this uncertainty.

Certainly we should be willing to pay some form of insurance premium in order to reduce the risk of damaging climate change, but how high a premium? And how much of the premium should be in the form of greenhouse gas emission reductions and how much in the form of investments in better defence against a more unstable climate?

IPCC’s work is important in allowing us to get a best possible scientific foundation for answering these questions. However, providing an academic answer is one thing, to get a politically feasible answer is another. The merging of the scientific consensus and the political realities is therefore necessary, and the processes in the plenary sessions of IPCC are therefore important steps in the direction of providing practical answers to the challenge of climate change.
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6 Appendix

6.1 Composition of the IPCC bureau

The Chairman of the IPCC Bureau is elected as a person, not as a state (e.g., Dr. Robert Watson is elected, not the United States). All other members are elected as states; the states then name the individuals (and their successors in the case that they leave). The Vice-Chairs serve as Co-Chairs of the Working Group Subgroups. IPCC requests that the individuals named be experts in the relevant fields.

The composition of the IPCC Bureau:

- Chairman: Robert T. Watson
- Vice-Chair: Japan - Katsuo Seiki
- Vice-Chair: Kenya - Richard Odingo
- Vice-Chair: India - Rajendra Pachauri
- Vice-Chair: Brazil - Gylvan Meira Filho
- Vice-Chair: Russian Federation - Yuri A. Izrael
- Co-Chair of Working Group I: China - Ding Yihui
- Co-Chair of Working Group I: United Kingdom - Sir John Houghton
- Vice-Chair of Working Group I: Tanzania - Buruhani Nyenzi
- Vice-Chair of Working Group I: Kuwait - Hassan Nasrallah
- Vice-Chair of Working Group I: Venezuela - Armando Ramirez
- Vice-Chair of Working Group I: Canada - John Stone
- Vice-Chair of Working Group I: Australia - John Zillman
- Vice-Chair of Working Group I: Switzerland - Fortunat Joos
- Co-Chair of Working Group II: Argentina - Osvaldo Canziani
- Co-Chair of Working Group II: United States of America - James McCarthy
- Vice-Chair of Working Group II: Senegal - Alioune Ndiaye
- Vice-Chair of Working Group II: Maldives - Abdullahi Majeed
- Vice-Chair of Working Group II: Tunisia - Skander Ben Abdallah
- Vice-Chair of Working Group II: Czech Republic - Jan Pretel
- Vice-Chair of Working Group II: New Zealand - Martin Manning
- Vice-Chair of Working Group II: France - Michel Petit
- Co-Chair of Working Group III: The Netherlands - Bert Metz
- Co-Chair of Working Group III: Sierra Leone - Ogunlade Davidson
- Vice-Chair of Working Group III: Germany - Eberhard Jochem
- Vice-Chair of Working Group III: Sri Lanka - Mohan Munasinghe
- Vice-Chair of Working Group III: Peru - Eduardo Calvo
- Vice-Chair of Working Group III: Cuba - Ramon P. Madruga
- Vice-Chair of Working Group III: Indonesia - R.T.M. Sutamihardja
- Vice-Chair of Working Group III: Norway - Lorents Lorentsen

In addition, there are Regional Representatives as follows:

- IPCC Region I (Africa): Nigeria - Dr. A.Y. Salahu
- IPCC Region II (Asia): Kuwait - A.H. Nasrallah
- IPCC Region III (South America): Colombia - Dr. K. Robertson
- IPCC Region IV (North and Central America and the Caribbean): Cuba - Dr. F. Moros
- IPCC Region V (Southwest Pacific and Small Islands): Australia - Dr. J. Zillman
- IPCC Region VI (Europe): Spain - Dr. M. Bautista Perez

Note: The Regional Representatives are usually unanimously nominated by the government representatives from the respective regions. The current Bureau was elected unanimously by the IPCC. Source: http://www.ipcc.ch

6.2 Selected reports from the IPCC

Special reports
  Summary for Policymakers (SPM)

Technical papers

Reports
- Climate Change 1994: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change (includes a Summary for Policymakers) and an Evaluation of the IPCC IS92 Emission Scenarios (includes a Summary for Policymakers and a Technical Summary).


Climate Change Impacts Studies Database (Prepared for IPCC).

Methodologies
- IPCC Technical Guidelines for Assessing Climate Change Impacts and Adaptations, 1994 (includes a Summary for Policymakers and an Executive Summary).
- Carbon Balance of World Forested Ecosystems: Toward a Global Assessment.
CICERO was established by the Norwegian government in April 1990 as a non-profit organization associated with the University of Oslo.

The research concentrates on:

- International negotiations on climate agreements. The themes of the negotiations are distribution of costs and benefits, information and institutions.

- Global climate and regional environment effects in developing and industrialized countries. Integrated assessments include sustainable energy use and production, and optimal environmental and resource management.

- Indirect effects of emissions and feedback mechanisms in the climate system as a result of chemical processes in the atmosphere.

Contact details:

CICERO
P.O. Box. 1129 Blindern
N-0317 OSLO
NORWAY

Telephone: +47 22 85 87 50
Fax: +47 22 85 87 51
Web: www.cicero.uio.no
E-mail: admin@cicero.uio.no