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Abstract: The scenarios in this report show that large-scale deployment of carbon capture and storage technologies for new coal-fired power plants from year 2015 may reduce global CO₂ emissions by 8-19% by 2030 and 23-25% by 2100. By 2100 global warming is reduced from 4.9 to 4.4 °C. These estimates are sensitive to the Business-as-Usual scenarios chosen, both for total CO₂ emissions and for power production based on coal, and to other assumptions.
Preface

This report is financed by Sargas AS. It has been prepared in the period July-October 2009. It is an extended version of Report 2008-3 (Torvanger, 2008), where the effect of retrofitting old coal-fired power plants with CCS facilities in the period 2015-2045 is added. The background is the CO₂ capture technology developed by Sargas AS and the pilot facility for CO₂ capture at the Värtan coal-fired power station in Stockholm operated by Fortum Värme and Sargas AS that started its operation in November 2007.
1 Introduction

Carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS) is the process of collecting CO₂ emissions from power plants or large industry sources, transporting the captured gas to a suitable location, and injecting it underground in deep geological formations. This technology is being promoted by both scientists and policy-makers as one of the most promising alternatives for large-scale reductions of greenhouse gases to fight global warming.

Because major emitters, including China, India, and the United States, all have significant coal reserves, coal is likely to play a major role as an energy source for many decades to come – even with aggressive policies in place to address climate change. As a result, CCS is anticipated to be an important part of any portfolio of alternatives for near-term, substantial reductions in global carbon dioxide emissions. However, despite growing interest in CCS technology, there are still several major barriers to creating and maintaining large-scale, widespread CO₂ storage sites. Among these barriers are high and uncertain costs, insufficient value of CCS (e.g. measured as price of CO₂ emission allowances), inadequate regulatory systems, and limited public awareness.

In this report we examine the potential of large-scale CCS in the coal-fired power sector to reduce global CO₂ emissions, and to reduce global warming. The calculations are based on a CCS scenario where, from 2015, all new coal-fired power facilities at global level are equipped with CCS technology. In another scenario remaining old coal-fired power plants are gradually retrofitted with CCS technology in addition to all new plants being CCS-based. One can discuss the likelihood of all new coal-fired power production at the global level being CCS-based from 2015 onwards. Therefore this scenario should rather be interpreted as showing the maximum potential of reduced CO₂ emissions at global level if there are no obstacles to the introduction of CCS in coal-based power production from 2015 onwards. There is also a substantial CCS potential for industrial processes, gas-fired power plants, and for gas and oil if and when a centralized hydrogen-based fuel system for vehicles is developed.

All CO₂ is assumed to be safely stored in geological formations. The reduction in CO₂ emissions is compared to total global CO₂ emissions, thus including emissions from energy use and from changes in land use and forestry. The time horizon is up to the end of the present century.

2 Method, scenarios and data

Two Business-as-Usual (BaU) scenarios from IIASA are employed.¹ These scenarios are part of a larger family of emission scenarios from the SRES (Special Report on Emission Scenarios) work by IPCC, and which have been used as a basis for projections of climate change contained in the IPCC reports. These scenarios include separate scenarios for coal use by power producers.

¹ Confer: http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/ggi/GgiDb/dsd?Action=htmlpage&page=series
The first scenario is B2, which is a medium to low emission scenario, where population growth and income growth are moderate, and where fossil based energy technology development, and non-fossil based energy technologies show moderate progress. In this scenario the average annual growth rate of global CO₂ emissions in the period 2000-2100 is 0.5%, whereas the growth rate of coal-based power production is 0.9%.

In comparison, the second scenario, A2r, has relatively high population growth, low income growth, and low technology development for non-fossil energy technologies. The present trend in global emissions is much closer to this scenario than the B2 scenario. In this scenario the average annual growth rate of global CO₂ emissions in the period 2000-2100 is 1.2%, whereas the growth rate of coal-based power production is 1.6%.

We introduce two CCS scenarios. In the first CCS scenario this technology is introduced for all new coal-fired power plants from 2015 onwards (New CCS). In the second CCS scenario (New CCS + retrofit) CCS is introduced for all new coal-fired power plants from 2015 onwards in addition to old power plants being gradually retrofitted with CCS equipment. We assume that CO₂ capture facilities is capable of capturing 95% of emissions. Based on these scenarios, the following steps are undertaken to calculate the effect of the CCS scenarios on global CO₂ emissions and global temperature until 2100:

1. Convert annual coal-based power production from EJ to Mt of CO₂, based on a conversion factor from EJ to TWh, and from TWh to Mt CO₂ based on the energy efficiency from coal use in electricity production (using data from IEA and the average efficiency from year 2000).²

2. Assume that global coal-based power production remains the same in the CCS scenarios as in the BaU scenarios.

3. Insert linear phase-out of non-CCS coal power starting in 2015 and ending up with zero emissions from such plants by 2050.

4. Fill the gap between coal-based power production in the BaU scenarios and residual non-CCS coal-based power capacity by new CCS-based power plants that are able to capture 95% of CO₂ emissions. This means that from 2050 onwards, 95% of all coal-based power related emissions are captured.

5. Retrofit remaining old coal-fired power plants with CCS equipment in the period 2015-2045 through linear phase-in. The last retrofit takes place in 2045 since by 2050 all power plants have been replaced by new power plants with CCS.

² The conversion factor from EJ coal-based electricity production to Mt CO₂ is 243.
6. Calculate reduced annual CO2 emission form coal-based power and subtract this from global BaU emissions.

7. Draw global CO2 emission curves for each of the BaU scenarios and for the CCS scenarios in the period 2000–2100.

8. Calculate the temperature response of reduced CO2 emissions with the help of CICERO’s Simple Climate Model (SCM).

3 Effect on global CO2 emissions

The resulting CO2 emission curves are shown in figures 1 and 2, and the reduction in absolute figures and percentages compared to BaU for 2030 and 2100 are shown in table 1.

![Global CO2 emissions: Effect of CO2 removal through CCS from all new coal-fired power plants from 2015](image)

Figure 1. Comparison of global CO2 emissions for the B2 BaU scenario and the new CCS scenario.

The figures show that global CO2 emissions are gradually reduced from 2015 due to CCS, ending up at 23-25% reduction by 2100. Since the A2r scenario involves about a doubling of coal-based power production compared to B2, the volume of CO2 emissions reduction is also doubled in this scenario compared B2. The reduction is at 24 Gt CO2 for A2r and 13 Gt CO2 for B2. Figure 1 shows that global CO2 emissions by 2100 in the CCS scenarios are down to the 2015 level in the B2 scenario.
Figure 2. Comparison of global CO$_2$ emissions for the A2r BaU scenario and the CCS scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BaU scenario</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2030 Reduction in emissions; Gt CO$_2$</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>2100 Reduction in emissions; Gt CO$_2$</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCS scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>New CCS</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2r</td>
<td>New CCS</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2r</td>
<td>New CCS + retrofit</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Emission reductions in CCS scenarios compared to BaU scenarios in 2030 and 2100.

By 2030 the global emissions reduction in the CCS scenarios compared to the A2r scenario is around 18% compared to 9% for the B2 scenario. In absolute numbers the reduction is 4 Gt CO$_2$ for B2 and 9-10 Gt CO$_2$ for A2r.

Figure 2 includes the CCS scenario with retrofitting. The effect on global carbon dioxide emissions of including retrofit is small.

Figure 3 shows carbon dioxide emissions from the coal-fired power plants only, and provides a better illustration of the effect of introducing CCS technologies in this sector. The small effect of adding reduced emissions from retrofitting remaining old coal-fired power plants with CCS facilities is due to retrofitting taking place in the period 2015-2045 only, and for a dwindling number of old power plants.

Finally figure 4 shows that there is a significant reduction in accumulated CO$_2$ emissions throughout the century when CCS is introduced in the coal-fired power sector, but that the additional reduction of including retrofit of remaining old power plants with CCS technologies in the period 2015-2045 is marginal.
Figure 3. Comparison of global CO₂ emissions from coal-fired power stations for the A2r BaU scenario and the CCS scenarios.

Figure 4. Accumulated CO₂ emissions in the period 2000-2100 for BaU and the CCS scenarios; Tt CO₂.
4 Effect on global warming

To calculate the global temperature change from reduced global CO₂ emissions the CICERO Simple Climate Model (SCM) is used (Fuglestvedt and Berntsen, 1999; Fuglestvedt et al., 2000). The SCM calculates global mean concentrations from emissions of 24 gases and radiative forcing for 30 components (including stratospheric and tropospheric O₃, direct and indirect effects of aerosols). The global mean temperature change is calculated by an energy-balance climate/up-welling diffusion ocean model developed by Schlesinger et al. (1992), which has a prescribed climate sensitivity. The climate sensitivity is set to the best guess value 0.8 °C/Wm⁻² in our study.

In the SCM the historical development in global concentration of CO₂ is calculated using a scheme based on (Joos et al., 1996). The CO₂ module uses an ocean mixed-layer pulse response function that characterizes the surface to deep ocean mixing in combination with a separate equation describing the air-sea exchange (Siegenthaler and Joos, 1992). It also includes changes in CO₂ uptake by terrestrial vegetation due to CO₂ fertilization. For the other gases standard values for lifetime/adjustment time are used. Indirect effects of CH₄ on tropospheric O₃ and stratospheric H₂O as well as effects on its own adjustment time, are taken into account. Parameterizations of tropospheric O₃ and OH as function of NOₓ, CO, VOC and CH₄ are taken from IPCC-TAR (Ramaswamy et al., 2001) as well as concentration-forcing relations.

We limit the analysis to the highest emission growth reference scenario, that is A2r. The resulting temperature responses of the two CCS scenarios are shown in Figure 5.

![Global temperature change](image)

**Figure 5.** Temperature effect of equipping all new coal-power plants with CCS technologies and of retrofitting old plants with CCS facilities from 2015 compared to the A2r reference scenario.
In the reference scenario A2r the global warming commitment due to human-related release of greenhouse gases is 4.9 °C above pre-industrial global mean temperature by year 2100. Due to reduced global CO₂ emissions in the CCS scenario the temperature increase is reduced by about 0.5 °C, that is to 4.4 °C, equivalent to a reduction of about 10% in global warming from pre-industrial level till the end of this century. The temperature effect of adding reduced carbon dioxide emissions from retrofitting remaining old coal-fired power plants with CCS facilities is marginal.  

5 Summary

The scenarios analyzed in this report show that large-scale deployment of carbon capture and storage technologies for all new coal-fired power plants and retrofitting remaining old power stations with such technologies from year 2015 onwards can reduce global CO₂ emissions by 8-19% by 2030 and 23-25% by 2100 compared to the reference scenario. The global reference emission scenarios include both energy-related CO₂ emissions and emissions due to land-use change and forestry. Compared to the reference scenario global warming by end of this century is reduced by 0.5°C, which is about 10% less warming from pre-industrial level. These CCS scenarios are illustrations only, and are sensitive to the climate sensitivity and the business-as-usual scenarios chosen, both for total CO₂ emissions and for power production based on coal. Since no cost calculations are included in the analysis the realism of the CCS scenarios chosen as part of a wider climate strategy has not been assessed.
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3 Adding retrofit leads to 1% less warming by mid-century.
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